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Abstract

Free movement of people, goods and capital will on the long run induce the European Union to harmonise or—at least—to co-ordinate property regimes, land registration and cadastre in the member states. Rationale is the importance of property rights, registration and cadastre for the implementation of EU policy. To make a first step, the EU should consider the creation of an official EU Committee under EU leadership.

Introduction

The European Union (EU) encourages the free movement of people, goods and capital within the Union. One might reasonably wonder whether this would effect the regulations on property rights, land registration and cadastre of the distinguished member states. After all, persons and companies moving from one member state to another will certainly face different concepts of real rights («rights in rem»), mechanisms for transferring real rights, concepts of land registration and cadastre, mortgage regulations, services, and legal securities. The same occurs for land use planning and development, land taxation, and all kinds of land related public prescriptions. Financial institutions which are active on the cross border mortgage market, have to deal with different concepts of mortgage, different ways to establish mortgages, different systems of securing mortgages, so that the liberalisation of the EU-mortgage market has been hampered so far, by lack of transparent concepts and procedures.

The impact of the EU accession policy will aggravate this variety, how desirable that accession might be from a political and economical point of view (Westerbeek, 2000).

All (almost all) countries in the world have their own property regime, their own system of securing land rights, and specific systems of land registry and cadastre etc. This is caused by the fact that the relationship between men and land directly relates to the norms and values in a society as developed through the years. As such the EU has been showing wise policy to respect these differences, by stating—in article 222 of the European Treaty—that the Treaty will not affect the issue of property in the various member states: such matters fall under national jurisdictions.

The question arises to which extent this statement can be maintained, now that EU policies affect more and more the national jurisdiction of the member states. This is equally valid for the influence of the EU on the jurisdiction of potential member states regarding the accession requirements they have to fulill.

This paper aims at providing some preliminary considerations concerning the subject, inspired by the action taken by the Spanish government to include in the Official Performance Program (of the Spanish Presidency of the EU Council) the celebration of the first Congress of Cadastre in the EU, submitting a proposal to create a Cadastre Permanent Committee that will contribute to the future co-ordination of the cadastre in the member states.

EU-property market

The systematic analysis of law and practice on property in (Hurndall 1998) show that every member state has its own definition of «ownership» of land, although the impact of those «ownership» rights might be reasonably similar. Many member states have some form of absolute ownership (civil code law families), while «freeholds» in the common law family is considered as akin to absolute ownership, although the Crown remains owner of the land and is it the Crown to grant a title. More differences occur in other rights to land, like the rights concerning common and joint ownership, and apartment-rights and condominiums. Also the way how loans are secured by a mortgage occurs in a variety.

In the context of this paper it will go too far to deal with these differences extensively, however there is enough evidence that EU-citizens and companies—when moving through the EU—are faced with different (or at least different to a certain extent) concepts of property rights (see also Dale & Baldwin 2000).

The inventory of key aspects in land registration and cadastre legislation carried out by the Working Party on Land Administration (UN/ECE WPLA, 2000) shows that—concerning the issue of land registration and cadastre—the differences between member states (and potential member states) are reasonably bigger. The legal security provided by registration might differ substantially, the legal meaning of information delivered by land registers and cadastres is different, land registers and cadastres are in a different way more or less up to date. The different aim of cadastres (e.g. fiscal, legal security, land management) establishes different legal meaning of cadastral information, procedures and processes. The procedures for the creation, transfer and deletion of rights to land are different. Also the way the property market is organised and facilitated might differ, as shown in the inventory of land administration systems in Europe and North America by the Working Party on Land Administration (UN/ECE WPLA 2001b). Sometimes the involvement of a notary is compulsory; sometimes a transfer-agreement drawn up by private persons suffices. The same occurs with the involvement of land surveyors. By consequence also the legal meaning of the cadastral map, and the cadastral boundary survey differs from country to country. Depending on the security provided by the land register, the role of land registrars in investigating the legal effect of a transfer-document is different: either passive or active.

In short, there is enough evidence that EU-citizens and companies—when moving through the EU—are faced...
with different impacts of land registry and cadastre (Van der Molen, 1999).

The review of land taxation systems in the EU by (Brown & Hepworth, 2000) show differences in fiscal treatment of ownership and use of land. Also the inventory on valuation systems by the Working Party on Land Administration (UNECE-WPLA, 2001a) show differences in the estimation of the capital value of real estate as an asset.

Furthermore, the tax-deductibility of mortgage-rents differs substantially. All countries have some form of deductibility, however with different rates, and to different forms of ceilings.

In short, EU-citizens and companies — when moving through member states — are faced with different fiscal effects when acting on the land market.

Free movement of people and capital among the EU member states on the long run will create a European property market. «On the long run», because EU-investigations show that the movement of people between the member states actually does not expand enormously yet. However, the free movement of capital is increasing, also due to the mergers between financial banks. Economic theories (e.g. Douglas North’s theory on institutions and transactions) stipulate that human interactions might flourish if transaction costs are as low as possible.

A conclusion might be that the development of a future European property market will induce the EU to take steps regarding harmonisation or co-ordination of:

— property right regimes,
— land registration and cadastre (level of security of real rights and parcel-boundaries, and transparent operational procedures),
— easy and transparent procedures for creation, transfer and deletion of property rights,
— clear mandates, tasks and liabilities of facilitating public bodies (land registrars, cadastral officials) and professionals (notary, solicitors, conveyancers, licensed land surveyors, real estate brokers, etc.).

EU-liberalisation of financial markets

The earlier mentioned differences between member states are equally applicable on the free movement of capital. In the context of this paper especially mortgages are relevant.

Land and buildings are often used as collateral, providing a possibility to secure a loan through a mortgage. Financial institutions (banks, mortgage banks) develop cross border activities in providing mortgages to customers, within the EU rules on free movement of capital.

The above mentioned inventories show that member states apply different legal concepts of «mortgage», apply different rules regarding the creation and deletion, and assign different legal meanings to registration. The extent to which registers are guaranteed by the State is not the same. There are substantial differences on the question if proof is required of the discharge of a seller’s mortgage before registration of a new purchaser is made. Ranking of mortgages might differ, so that the priority of older mortgages over younger mortgages, and mortgages over personal claims (rights in persons), is a serious matter of attention. Rules for foreclosure are even more complex.

It is plausible that the free movement of capital, at least for the European financial market for mortgages, needs more streamlining. Attempts are made by the EU to develop directives on mortgages, but so far these are not submitted to the Council. As mortgages contribute substantially to financing ownership of houses and financing business activities (see the position of long liabilities in balance sheets of companies) a better facilitation of the European mortgage market may induce the EU to take steps in the harmonisation or co-ordination of:

— concept of mortgage-rights as security for loans on land and buildings
— registration and cadastre
— procedures for creation and deletion of mortgages
— regulations for foreclosure

In the meantime some member states attempt to coordinate the dissemination of land information through a EU sponsored project called EULIS (European Land Information System), providing a prototype of an electronic front desk giving access to involved cadastres (Oll_n, 2002).

EU-regional planning and development

The EU-attempts to encourage cross border physical planning and development result more and more in common planning in —what is called— EU-regions. Although planning law differ from country to country, and the legal status of plans is not the same, one might say that a common approach to shared regional interests is a step forward.

The function of land registry and cadastre is quite modest at this stage: a government can develop plans without detailed knowledge about properties and property rights.

The need for appropriate information regarding ownership, value and use of land increases when (normally: local) governments enter the procedure of development of plans, and —after that— implement the procedures to sustain a given land use.

At both stages the government needs to interfere in land owners’ private rights to dispose. The right to dispose is after all the main substance of the ownership right. Without detailed knowledge on «who owns what and where», it is extremely difficult for a government to enforce her legal competencies. To create participation by landowners, it is needed to know who they are. If they don’t wish to cooperate with the government to develop the area according to the spatial planning, the government might consider the exercise of pre-emptive rights or expropriation. The legal meaning and procedure for pre-emptive rights and expropriation is complex and differ among the member states, however everywhere detailed information from the land register and the cadastre is needed.

During the maintenance of the given land use after the development procedure, the government exercises normally a system of permits and allowances, like the building permits, construction permits, demolition permits etc. in order to control the land use of the area. These are also nation-specific, but everywhere supported by adequate land information.

Whatever the case, land registers and cadastre deliver in many stages of the process of planning, development and maintenance, information regarding ownership, value and use of land and buildings, which is essential for the appropriate enforcement of a governments’ spatial planning policy.

If the EU in the future likely is to encourage cooperation between member states on their spatial planning,
it might be recommended not to look at planning procedures only, but to focus on the legal instruments a government has at its disposal to effectively implement and sustain the given land use. As mentioned, also the availability of appropriate information on ownership, value and use of land is conditional. Therefore — also for this reason — it might be needed to harmonise or co-ordinate the legal meaning, and operational aspects of land registers and cadastre.

EU-common agricultural policy


The Integrated System provided for a single aid-application, to be submitted by the farmer each year. That is the key component of the administration and monitoring of area-related aid schemes. The Integrated System also entailed the setting up of computerised databases enabling cross checks to be conducted on holdings, as well as parcels and livestock. To conduct this type of check, provision was made for a system for identifying and registering agricultural parcels and livestock.

Part of the System therefore is (see article 2 of the Directive) an ‘alphanumeric identification system for agricultural parcels’. Article 4 states that this alphanumeric system is to be created on the basis of cadastral maps and documents, or on other map references.

A review by Kragh (Kragh 1998) shows that the used data source for the Integrated System differs substantially among the member states. Basically the cadastral registers and maps could not serve as data source for the System, although in article 4 this seemed to be the first choice of the EU. A majority of member states maintain a system based on topographic maps or orthophotos, however with a certain reference to the cadastral register and maps. A minority used the existing cadastral registers and cadastral maps, and added to that relevant information from other data sources.

The main reason that cadastral registers and maps were not a priori suitable for use in the Integrated System, will be that these registers and maps primarily reflect ownership and owned parcels and not use-rights and agricultural parcels. Long leases might be registered, but normally short leases are not. How a farmer actually uses his land from year to year is up to him, and need not to be registered. Also — at that time— there were problems caused by lack of country covering, unsuitable map scales, backlogs in maintenance, lack of up-to-date-ness. In the meantime—many countries covering, unsuitable map scales, backlogs in maintenance, lack of up-to-date-ness. In the meantime—many countries maintain two country covering parcel based systems.

The question is if maintaining two country covering databases is efficient. Although we face an already existing situation, it is likely a good idea to take into account also this function of land registry and cadastre when considering harmonisation or co-ordination.

EU policy on the environment

Protection of the environment is an important objective of EU policy. The amount of land related government measures increase, of which many of them are inspired or even imposed by EU Directives. Anyhow the number of rights and interests in land according to public law, create a situation where the legal status of land according to public law is almost equally important as the status according to private law (Van der Molen & Oosterberg, 1999). This —at least— is valid when these public encumbrances have legal power against third parties, so that —for example— buyers of real estate need exact knowledge of the public restrictions, in order to avoid embarrassing restriction of their private right to dispose. By the way this regards not only environmental prescriptions but also regarding planning, historical monuments, public acquisition rules etc. Governments do not have much attention to an appropriate registration of these public restrictions so far. That is even worse because the number of government bodies that are mandated to impose such restrictions, is many. Even for professional parties, who are hired by a purchaser, it is a burden to guarantee that their investigation on the private and public status of land indeed delivers a complete picture. Whatever the case, land registers and cadastres play or —at least— should play an important role in determining public encumbrances and make them properly known to the public.

What the EU can do is making this an essential and standard part of their Directives so that in the national law-making processes the determination, processing and dissemination of relevant land-information to EU citizens and companies is safeguarded.

EU-consumer protection policy

The protection of the consumers within the EU is part of the EU policy. This is materialised through various standardisations activities (like CEN), anti-trust measures, and —important for the property market— the EU Directive on time sharing (EU 94/47) and the judgement of the European court on intellectual property (13th July 1995 C-350/92).

The earlier mentioned paragraphs make clear that the consumer (whether a natural person or a legal body) when operating on the market for real estate cannot feel very protected so far. Evidence for that might also be found in the fact that in order to protect himself, the consumer seeks professional help when operating in this market. When a consumer seeks help of a professional party for the sake of convenience, it is another matter than seeking help because of insecurity and even threat of fraud. So, on the long run, facing an EU encouraged cross border living style, the EU should take responsibility in enhancing consumers protection regarding the property market.

Need for eu coordination of land registry and Cadastre

Referring to the above mentioned paragraphs, a (I would suggest: preliminary) conclusion is that free movement of people, goods and capital on the long run ask for taking steps on harmonisation and co-ordination by the EU of property regimes, land registration law, cadastral regulations in order to meet the requirements of the EU policy on

- facilitating an European land market
- liberalisation of the financial market for mortgages
- regional spatial planning, development and maintenance
- agricultural policy
- environmental policy
- consumer protection (both private persons and companies), so that also EU citizens and companies can
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benefit from good land registry and cadastral at European level (UN/ECE/WPLA, 1998).

Regarding the earlier mentioned Spanish initiative, one might say that —in my view— the initiative could be highly appreciated, but —to put it bluntly— it does not go far enough. The establishment of a Permanent Cadastral Committee — the creation of a proper scenario where the cadastral activities if the EU and the members states can be known, — the provision of complete interoperability among the EU cadastral systems through common strategies and initiatives likely can not be successful without taking into account the harmonisation or —at least— the co-ordination of property regimes and land registration cadastral regulations.

Because of the relation with article 222 of the European Treaty such a comprehensive approach should be embedded in the EU-administration, and therefore leadership of the EU is needed. Forming a meeting place for cadastral officials might be useful for diffusion of visions, and for sharing ideas and developments, but is basically too informal, and might overlap with —for example— the Working Party on Land Administration WPLA of the United Nations Economic Commission of Europe, Commission 7 on Cadastre and Land Management of the International federation of Surveyors FIG, or the pan-European organisation of National Mapping Agencies Eurogeographics.

So —in my view— the key for the future of land registry and cadastral in the EU is a formal body under EU leadership, with the comprehensive mission to bring harmonisation or co-ordination of property regimes, registration ad cadastral further within the EU policy-framework (see as a step forward the «Land Administration Guidelines» of the UN/ECE/WPLA, 1996).

**Recommendation**

First of all the Spanish government deserves a compliment for recognising the importance of property, registry and cadastral for the EU and for involving the issue in the Official Performance Program of the Spanish Presidency of the EU.

Secondly, the EU should be invited: — to create an official EU committee or task force, — consisting of officials responsible for property law, registration and cadastral, — in order to study the impact of the free movement of people, goods and capital on property regimes, registration and cadastral, — to take into account the support to EU policy that good land registers and cadaster can give, — with the goal to identify appropriate EU measures to be taken, — EU authorities definitely should chair such a committee.
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Customers of quite different profession are using Cadastral Information as a tool for their decision-making. The main categories of customers and their demands have changed dramatically over time. They are accessing components and services not only from Cadastra but from different sources in digital form. How can they manage it? Is it by a coordinated approach of the information providers or is it much more a demand driven initiative, not withstanding all the hindrances? In fact it seems that we should know more about the customers, their demands and the changes over time.

What are the challenges for the customers? The customers get more and more involved in the unpleasant aspects of merging, transforming, overlaying, filtering information. A sound infrastructure however needs some harmonization for optimised common use of services and data provided.