View on the future of EULIS

Peter Hubalek
Federal Ministry of Justice
• Trained lawyer and judge.

• In the Information and Communication Technology Unit (ICT) of the Austrian Federal Ministry of Justice (BMJ) since 1992.

• Representative of the BMJ in EULIS and EBR (European Business Register since 1997) and BRIS (Business Register Interconnection System)

• I am with EULIS since 2003 and was heading the legal group from 2006 to 2010, I was also involved in LINE.

• We were in EULIS online since 2009.
Pros of EULIS

- It is existing (22 members, 10 of them are paying the annual fee, 5 to 6 are online)
- Cheap legal form (EEIG), low fixed costs
- It offers all necessary functions (delivery of information and billing)
- Open to European non EU members (e.g. Macedonia, Iceland)
- Full members invested substantial funds in EULIS.
Cons of EULIS

• No relevant traffic;
• Big important European countries are missing (Germany, Italy, France; different national access regimes.
• Almost no investments in EULIS in the last years (after the LINE project).
• Competition by similar initiatives like ELRA and the Land Registers Interconnection
Cons of EULIS
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The Future of EULIS?

- It seems that the EC is reinventing EULIS (LRI) like EBR (BRIS).
- EULIS could be kept for the non EU-members in Europe.
- EULIS is mentioned in a draft European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for an amendment to the money laundering directive (Article 32b „Member States shall cooperate among themselves and with the Commission in order to establish by 1 January 2018 a European real property register in accordance with paragraph 1 building on the European Land Information Service (EULIS)”) from 7/11/2016.
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